

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-29 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, 1, 11 and 12-20 are amended, and claims 27-29 are added. Support for the amendments to independent claims 1, 11, 12 and 15-20 can be found in the specification, for example, at paragraphs [0207] - [0212]. Claims 13 and 14 are amended for form. Support for new claims 27-29 can be found at least at paragraphs [0082] - [0106]. No new matter is added.

**I. The Claims Define Patentable Subject Matter****A. Claims 1-7 and 9-26**

The Office Action rejects claims 1-7 and 9-26 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent No. 6,343,317 to Glorikian. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 1-7 and 9-26 would not have been rendered obvious by Glorikian. Glorikian does not disclose "the object information including information, the information providing a time condition for construction of the virtual object," as recited in independent claim 1, and similarly recited in independent claims 11, 12 and 15-20. Namely, Glorikian does not disclose setting the virtual objects in a movable manner so that the virtual objects can be constructed according to a time condition. See, e.g., paragraph [0207]. Nowhere does Glorikian teach or suggest this feature.

Glorikian only discloses that each exhibit is documented in an information database by location from an arbitrary reference point. See, e.g., Glorikian, col. 7, lines 43-58. The service stored in the information database in Glorikian is merely correlated with a specified location and not to a virtual object. Further, the database of Glorikian is only a simple Cartesian reference system that lists locations of the exhibits in two dimensions from a reference point. See, e.g., Glorikian, col. 7, lines 43-57. See, e.g., Glorikian, col. 7, lines 43-58. The service of Glorikian is thus directly correlated to the positional information of a

portable terminal, which has been inputted. Therefore, Glorikian does not disclose a virtual object that is set to appear or disappear according to a time condition.

Thus, for at least these reasons, independent claims 1, 11, 12 and 15-20 are patentable over Glorikian. Further, claims 2-7, 9, 10, 13, 14 and 21-26, which variously depend from the independent claims, are also patentable over Glorikian for at least the reasons discussed above, as well as for they additional features they recite. Withdrawal of the rejection is thus respectfully requested.

**B. Claim 8**

The Office Action rejects claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Glorikian in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,326,918 to Stewart. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 8 would not have been rendered obvious by Glorikian in view of Stewart. Stewart does not remedy the deficiencies of Glorikian discussed above with respect to claim independent 1. Claim 8 depends from claim 1. Thus, claim 8 is patentable over Glorikian and Stewart for at least the reasons discussed with respect to claim 1, as well as for the additional features it recites. Withdrawal of the rejection is thus respectfully requested.

**II. Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff  
Registration No. 27,075

Randi B. Isaacs  
Registration No. 56,046

JAO:RBI/eks

Attachment:  
Amendment Transmittal

Date: April 25, 2006

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**  
**P.O. Box 19928**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22320**  
**Telephone: (703) 836-6400**

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--